arXiv:1411.4611v1 [math.OA] 17 Nov 2014

REGULARITY FOR BRAIDED MULTIPLICATIVE UNITARIES

DAVID BÜCHER AND SUTANU ROY

Abstract. We generalise the notions of semi-regularity, regularity, and bi-regularity to unitary solutions of the braided Pentagon equation in concrete W^*-categories with semi-regular/regular/bi-regular braiding, and study their properties. We show, for example, that under bi-regularity-assumptions, the leg-algebras form braided C^*-bialgebras. Moreover, we "close the circle" for the representation categories: The braiding on the Yetter-Drinfeld category of a (semi-)regular multiplicative unitary in a category with (semi-)regular braiding is again (semi-)regular.

1. Introduction

Multiplicative unitaries, i.e. unitary operators W acting on $\mathscr{K} \otimes \mathscr{K}$, for some Hilbert space $\mathscr{K}$, that satisfy the *Pentagon equation*

$$W_{23}W_{12} = W_{12}W_{13}W_{23} \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{U}(\mathscr{K} \otimes \mathscr{K} \otimes \mathscr{K}) \ , \tag{1.1}$$

provide a fairly algebraic approach to locally compact quantum groups. Namely, given a multiplicative unitary W, one wants to construct a quantum group as follows. The set of slices $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(W)$, where ω runs over the normal linear functionals on $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K})$, forms an algebra $\hat{A}_0(W)$. Passing to the norm-closure produces a Banach algebra $\hat{A}(W)$. In order to make sure that $\hat{A}(W)$ is a C^*-algebra carrying a bialgebra structure, one needs to impose further conditions on W, like *regularity* or *manageability*, see [1, 12]. In this paper, we generalise the notion of regularity to braided multiplicative unitaries.

Our setting is the following: We fix a concrete monoidal W^*-category $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. a monoidal W^*-category together with a monoidal fibre functor to the category of Hilbert spaces. On $\mathcal{C}$, we fix a unitary braiding $c = (c_{H,K})_{H,K\in\mathcal{C}}$. Then, by a *braided multiplicative unitary*, or, a *multiplicative unitary F in $\mathcal{C}$* we understand a unitary solution $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ to the Pentagon equation in $\mathcal{C}$, also called fusion equation in [8],

$$F_{23}F_{12} = F_{12}(c^{-1}_{L,L})_{12}F_{23}(c_{L,L})_{12}F_{23} \ . \tag{1.2}$$

We define $\hat{A}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$ as the norm closure of the set of slices $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(F)$, where ω runs over the normal linear functionals on the algebra of bounded linear operators $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$ on the image $\mathscr{L}$ of L under the fibre functor. We stress that we wish to let ω run over all normal linear functionals on $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$, and not just over those on $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(L)$, so this is the point why we require $\mathcal{C}$ to be a *concrete* monoidal W^*-category. In contrast to the non-braided case, it is no more automatic that $\hat{A}(F)$ is even an algebra. The way we assure this here is to impose a regularity-condition on the braiding $c_{L,L}$ (see Definition 3 and Proposition 5).

In Section 3.1 we introduce the notion of regularity for a braided multiplicative unitary F and show, as a first consequence, that it implies that $\hat{A}(F)$ is a C^*-algebra (Proposition 9). We then wish to obtain an analoge of the fact – known

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L89, 22D25; Secondary 81R50.
Key words and phrases. Braided multiplicative unitaries, Regularity, Yetter-Drinfeld category.

in the non-braided setting – that $\hat{A}(W)$ carries a C^*-bialgebra structure. To this end, we have to first relax the notion of C^*-bialgebra: An ordinary C^*-bialgebra is a coalgebra object in the tensor category C^*-Alg with objects being C^*-algebras, morphisms $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{C^*\text{-Alg}}(A, B)$ being non-degenerate *-homomorphisms from A to the multiplier algebra $M(B)$ of B, and with the minimal C^*-tensor product $\otimes_{\min}$. We define a *braided C^*-bialgebra* as a coalgebra object in a *monoidal category of C^*-algebras*, where we allow for a tensor product different from $\otimes_{\min}$, see Definitions 1 & 2. We go on in Section 3.2 to define a suitable monoidal category of C^*-algebras $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$, and show in Section 3.3 that $\hat{A}(F)$ is indeed a C^*-bialgebra in this category.

The regularity-condition for braidings, which we imposed in the beginning, is nicely compatible with the regularity-condition of a braided multiplicative unitary: The braiding on the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules associated with a regular braided multiplicative unitary F in a regularly braided category satisfies itself the regularity-condition. This we show in Section 4. Moreover, regularity is inherited under taking semi-direct products of multiplicative unitaries, as we see in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we include some comments on bi-regularity.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **General notation regarding Hilbert spaces.** We denote Hilbert spaces by script letters $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}, \mathscr{L}$, etc. The set of bounded operators between Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}$ or on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ is referred to as $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})$, respectively, $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H})$; the respective subsets of compact operators are denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H})$; the respective pre-duals are denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})_*$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H})_*$. If $X \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{L})$ is a subset, then we denote the closed linear span of X by $[X]$.

2.2. **Monoidal W^*-categories.** We recall here some definitions related to concrete monoidal W^*-categories. See [3, 10] for references.

A *C^*-category* is a category $\mathcal{C}$ such that

- $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is a Banach space for all objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, and $\|f \circ g\| \leq \|f\|\|g\|$ for all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z), g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$,
- there are isometric involutions $^* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$ with $(f \circ g)^* = g^* \circ f^*$ for all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z), g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$,
- $\|f^* f\| = \|f\|^2$ for all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$.

For an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we write $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ for $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ for the set of endomorphisms $u \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ such that $u^* u = \mathrm{id}_X = u u^*$ (*unitary* endomorphisms).

A *W^*-category* is a C^*-category $\mathcal{C}$ where for all objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ there is some Banach space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)_*$ whose dual space is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$. We refer to [3] for basic definitions and results on W^*-categories. A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ between W^*-categories is called *normal* if the induced maps $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}(F(X), F(Y))$ are continuous with respect to the ultraweak topology, which is the locally convex topology induced by the predual spaces $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)_*$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}(F(X), F(Y))_*$. A monoidal W^*-category is a W^*-category $\mathcal{C}$, together with a normal bi-functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, a distinguished object $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{C}$, and

- natural isomorphisms $\lambda_X : \mathbb{1} \otimes X \to X$, $\rho_X : X \otimes \mathbb{1} \to X$, for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$,
- natural isomorphisms $\alpha_{X,Y,Z} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \to X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$, for all objects X, Y, Z of $\mathcal{C}$,

subject to the usual coherence conditions. A monoidal W^*-category is called *strict*, if all coherence isomorphisms are given by identities. While our statements in the sequel of this paper do not assume the monoidal categories involved to be strict, we will usually leave out the coherence isomorphisms from the notation (by

Mac Lane's coherence theorem, all admissible insertions of coherence isomorphisms give the same result).

A *concrete* monoidal W^*-category is a monoidal W^*-category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a normal strong monoidal functor $\mathrm{For} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Hilb}$, where Hilb is the category of Hilbert spaces and bounded linear maps, with the Hilbert-space-completed tensor product. A *braided W^*-category* is a monoidal W^*-category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$, together with a family c of natural isomorphisms $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \to Y \otimes X$ such that $c_{U,V\otimes W} = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes c_{U,W}) \circ (c_{U,V} \otimes \mathrm{id}_W)$ and $c_{U\otimes V,W} = (c_{U,W} \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) \circ (\mathrm{id}_U \otimes c_{V,W})$ hold for all objects U, V, W of $\mathcal{C}$. In the following, we will denote the Hilbert spaces $\mathrm{For}(H), \mathrm{For}(K), \mathrm{For}(L)$ "underlying" the objects H, K, L of a concrete monoidal W^*-category $\mathcal{C}$ by script letters $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}, \mathscr{L}$ – just as we also denote ordinary Hilbert spaces. This is to keep the notation lighter.

2.3. **Graphical notation.** We use a graphical notation for morphisms in braided categories similar to the one used in, e.g., [2, Ch. 2.3]. In particular, our pictures are read from bottom to top. For example, a (generic) morphism $f : X \to Y$ and the braiding $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \to Y \otimes X$ are represented by

(2.1) 

For the sake of notational compactness, we represent a morphism of the form $F \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ by just a horizontal, potentially dashed line, instead of a box,

(2.2) 

We will often omit the label "F" at places, where we mean a previously fixed solution F to the Pentagon equation in $\mathcal{C}$; in those cases, solid lines represent F, while dashed lines are reserved for its conjugate F^*. By naturality of the half-braiding, one has the following equality:

(2.3) 

This we take as a justification to write, more symmetrically,

(2.4) 

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a *concrete* braided W^*-category and $H \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object with underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, then we allow for diagrams involving triangles and , which we use to denote the sets $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathscr{H}) \cong \mathscr{H}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}, \mathbb{C})$, respectively. Note that diagrams involving such triangles do in general not represent (sets of) morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, but just sets of bounded operators between Hilbert spaces underlying objects of $\mathcal{C}$. With these conventions we have, for example,

(2.5) 

$= \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})$,

if $H, K \in \mathcal{C}$ with underlying Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{K}$. Here, the brackets are the notation introduced in Section 2.1 for the closed linear span.

We will repeatedly make use of the elementary fact that, if $X \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(H_1 \otimes K_1, H_2 \otimes K_2)$ is a unitary, then the set $\{X(\eta \otimes \xi) \,|\, \eta \in \mathscr{H}_1, \xi \in \mathscr{K}_1\}$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}_2 \otimes \mathscr{K}_2$. This reads in our graphical notation

(2.6)



2.4. **Braided multiplicative unitaries.** In the following we fix a strict monoidal unitarily braided W^*-category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, c)$.

A morphism $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ is called *multiplicative unitary* (*in* $\mathcal{C}$), if it satisfies the *Pentagon equation*

(2.7)



More explicitly this means $F_{23}F_{12} = F_{12}(c_{L,L})_{12}F_{23}(c_{L,L}^{-1})_{12}F_{23}$, using the "leg notation" $F_{12} := F \otimes \mathrm{id}_L$ and $F_{23} := \mathrm{id}_L \otimes F$.

The category of (right-)corepresentations of a multiplicative unitary $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^F$, is the monoidal category given by

- objects: pairs (H, U), where $H \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object and $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \otimes L)$ satisfies

(2.8)



- morphisms $(H_1, U_1) \to (H_2, U_2)$: morphisms $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H_1, H_2)$ such that $(f \otimes \mathrm{id}_L) \circ U_1 = U_2 \circ (f \otimes \mathrm{id}_L)$.
- tensor product: for $(H_1, U_1), (H_2, U_2) \in \mathcal{C}^F$,

(2.9)

$$(H_1, U_1) \otimes (H_2, U_2) := \left(H_1 \otimes H_2, \right.$$



$$\left. \right) .$$

Similarly, one defines the category ${}_F\mathcal{C}$ of (left-)representations of F:

- objects: pairs (H, U), where $H \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object and $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes H)$ satisfies

(2.10)



- morphisms $(H_1, U_1) \to (H_2, U_2)$: morphisms $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H_1, H_2)$ such that $(\mathrm{id}_L \otimes f) \circ U_1 = U_2 \circ (\mathrm{id}_L \otimes f)$.
- tensor product: for $(H_1, U_1), (H_2, U_2) \in {}_F\mathcal{C}$,

(2.11)

$$(H_1, U_1) \otimes (H_2, U_2) := \left(H_1 \otimes H_2, \right.$$



$$\left. \right) .$$

The category ${}_F\mathcal{YD}^F(\mathcal{C})$ of Yetter-Drinfeld modules of F is given by

- objects: triples (H, U, V), where $(H, U) \in \mathcal{C}^F$ and $(H, V) \in {}_F\mathcal{C}$ satisfy

(2.12)



- morphisms $(H_1, U_1, V_1) \to (H_2, U_2, V_2)$: morphisms $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H_1, H_2)$ such that $(f \otimes \mathrm{id}_L) \circ U_1 = U_2 \circ (f \otimes \mathrm{id}_L)$ and $(\mathrm{id}_L \otimes f) \circ V_1 = V_2 \circ (\mathrm{id}_L \otimes f)$.

- tensor product: for $(H_1, U_1, V_1), (H_2, U_2, V_2) \in {}_F\mathcal{YD}^F(\mathcal{C})$,

$$(H_1, U_1, V_1) \otimes (H_2, U_2, V_2) := \left(H_1 \otimes H_2, \right. \tag{2.13}$$



$$\left. \right) .$$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a concrete braided W^*-category, then we call a multiplicative unitary $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ *good*, if for all $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$ – where $\mathscr{L}$ is the Hilbert space underlying $L \in \mathcal{C}$ – the implication

$$\left(\right. \tag{2.14}$$



$$\left. \right) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{id}_L$$

holds true. In (2.14) we have, for the first time, applied our convention on the usage of dashed lines explained below (2.2). If F is good, then for all $(H, U) \in \mathcal{C}^F$ and $(K, V) \in {}_F\mathcal{C}$ there exists a unique unitary $V * U \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \otimes K)$ such that

$$\tag{2.15}$$



cf. the proof of [4, Lem. 3.11] for the special case that $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, c) = (\mathrm{Hilb}, \otimes, \Sigma)$; the general case will be presented in a separate paper. There, it will also be shown: If F is a good multiplicative unitary in $\mathcal{C}$, then ${}_F\mathcal{YD}^F(\mathcal{C})$ is braided with braiding Φ given by $\Phi_{H,K} := c_{K,H}^{-1} \circ (V_K * U_H)$, for objects $(H, U_H, V_H), (K, U_K, V_K) \in {}_F\mathcal{YD}^F(\mathcal{C})$.

2.5. **Monoidal categories of C^*-algebras and braided C^*-bialgebras.** Given a C^*-algebra A, we denote its multiplier algebra by $M(A)$. We denote by C^*-Alg the category whose objects are C^*-algebras and whose morphisms $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{C^*\text{-Alg}}(A, B)$ are non-degenerate *-homomorphisms $f : A \to M(B)$. By non-degeneracy, such a *-homomorphism extends uniquely to a *-homomorphism $M(A) \to M(B)$. Hence, morphisms in C^*-Alg are indeed composable. We refer to, e.g., [11] for further details.

Let A, B, C be C^*-algebras and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{C^*\text{-Alg}}(A, C)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{C^*\text{-Alg}}(B, C)$ be morphisms. We say that C is a *crossed product of A, B with inclusions α, β*, if $C = [\alpha(A) \cdot \beta(B)] \subseteq M(C)$, cf. [5, Def. 2.11].

Definition 1. A *monoidal category of C^*-algebras* is a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes, \mathbb{1})$ together with a faithful functor $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{C} \to C^*$-Alg and natural transformations $\iota_1 : \mathrm{Pr}_1 \to \boxtimes$ and $\iota_2 : \mathrm{Pr}_2 \to \boxtimes$, where $\mathrm{Pr}_1, \mathrm{Pr}_2$ denote the functors $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ projecting on the first, respectively, second component, subject to:

(1) for all $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ we have that $\mathcal{A}(A \boxtimes B)$ forms a crossed product of $\mathcal{A}(A)$ and $\mathcal{A}(B)$ with inclusions $\mathcal{A}(\iota_{1;A,B})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\iota_{2;A,B})$.
(2) for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\iota_{1;A\boxtimes B,C} \circ \iota_{1;A,B} = \iota_{1;A,B\boxtimes C} , \qquad \iota_{1;A\boxtimes B,C} \circ \iota_{2;A,B} = \iota_{2;A,B\boxtimes C} \circ \iota_{1;B,C} ,$$
$$\iota_{2;A\boxtimes B,C} = \iota_{2;A,B\boxtimes C} \circ \iota_{2;B,C} .$$

An example of a monoidal category of C^*-algebras is C^*-Alg itself ($\mathcal{A}$ being the identity), with the minimal C^*-tensor product $\otimes_{\min}$ and the natural transformations $\iota_{1;A,B} : A \to A \otimes_{\min} B$ and $\iota_{2;A,B} : B \to A \otimes_{\min} B$ given by $\iota_{1;A,B}(a) := a \otimes_{\min} 1_B$ and $\iota_{2;A,B}(b) := 1_A \otimes_{\min} b$, where 1_B and 1_A are the units of the multiplier algebras $M(A)$, respectively, $M(B)$.

In the following, we will usually suppress the functor $\mathcal{A}$, thinking of a monoidal category of C^*-algebras as a (non-full) subcategory of C^*-Alg, equipped with a

tensor product. Instead of $\mathcal{A}(\iota_{1;A,B})(a)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\iota_{2;A,B})(b)$, for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, we also write $a \boxtimes 1_B$, respectively, $1_A \boxtimes b$.

Definition 2. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes, \mathbb{1})$ be a monoidal category of C^*-algebras. A (*braided*) C^*-*bialgebra* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a coalgebra (A, Δ) in $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\Delta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A \boxtimes A)$ such that $(\Delta \boxtimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = (\mathrm{id} \boxtimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$. A C^*-bialgebra (A, Δ) in $\mathcal{C}$ is called *bi-simplifiable* if the *Podleś conditions* $A \boxtimes A = [\Delta(A) \cdot (A \boxtimes 1_A)]$ and $A \boxtimes A = [\Delta(A) \cdot (1_A \boxtimes A)]$ hold.

3. From braided multiplicative unitaries to braided C^*-bialgebras

3.1. From semi-regularity to C^*-algebras.

Definition 3. A braiding c on a concrete monoidal W^*-category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ is said to be *semi-regular*, if it is unitary and

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}) = \left[\; \right] \subseteq \left[\; \right] = [(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(c_{H,K}) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{H})_*] \tag{3.1}$$





holds for all $H, K \in \mathcal{C}$. It is said to be *regular*, if one has an equality in (3.1).

Remark 4. (1) The category Hilb is regularly braided by the tensor flip Σ. We will see in Proposition 16 below that more examples of regularly braided categories are provided by Yetter-Drinfeld categories of regular multiplicative unitaries.

(2) If $\mathcal{C}$ has a semi-regular unitary braiding c, then, by taking adjoints, we see that

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}) \subseteq \left[\; \right] . \tag{3.2}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{rev}}$, which is the same as $\mathcal{C}$ as a monoidal category, but braided by the inverse $(c^{-1}_{K,H})_{H,K \in \mathcal{C}}$ of c, is semi-regularly braided, too. An analogous statement holds for regularity instead of semi-regularity.

Proposition 5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semi-regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, and let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object with underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{L}$. Let $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ be a multiplicative unitary. Define*

$$\hat{A}(F) := [(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(F) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})_*] \; . \tag{3.3}$$

Then:

(1) $\hat{A}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$ is a subalgebra.

(2) $[\hat{A}(F)\mathscr{L}] = \mathscr{L}$, i.e. $\hat{A}(F)$ acts non-degenerately.

Proof. Part 1: We have

$$[\hat{A}(F)\hat{A}(F)] = \left[\; \right] \overset{(3.1)}{\subseteq} \left[\; \right] = \left[\; \right] \overset{(2.7)}{=} \left[\; \right]$$









$$\overset{(2.6)}{=} \hat{A}(F) \; . \tag{3.4}$$

Let us make the above graphical calculation more explicit at this point, since this is the first time we use our notation in a proof. Also, we use the opportunity to spell out the coherence isomorphisms. Denote by $\alpha^{\mathcal{C}}_{X,Y,Z}$, $\alpha^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{X,Y,Z}$ the associators, and by

$\lambda^{\mathcal{C}}_X$, $\rho^{\mathcal{C}}_X$, $\lambda^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_X$, ρ^{Hilb}_X the unit isomorphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ and, respectively, Hilb. Moreover, there are the coherence isomorphisms $f_{X,Y} : \mathrm{For}(X) \otimes_{\mathrm{Hilb}} \mathrm{For}(Y) \to \mathrm{For}(X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} Y)$ and $f : \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{Hilb}} \to \mathrm{For}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}})$ of the strong monoidal functor For. The first picture in (3.4) translates into

$$\begin{aligned}(3.5)\quad &\big[\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \langle \mathscr{L}|) \circ f^{-1}_{L,L} \circ \mathrm{For}(F) \circ f_{L,L} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes |\mathscr{L}\rangle) \circ (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1} \\ &\qquad \circ \rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \langle \mathscr{L}|) \circ f^{-1}_{L,L} \circ \mathrm{For}(F) \circ f_{L,L} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes |\mathscr{L}\rangle) \circ (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1}\big] \\ &= \big[\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \langle \mathscr{L}|) \circ f^{-1}_{L,L} \circ \mathrm{For}(F) \circ f_{L,L} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})) \\ &\qquad \circ f^{-1}_{L,L} \circ \mathrm{For}(F) \circ f_{L,L} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes |\mathscr{L}\rangle) \circ (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1}\big] \ ,\end{aligned}$$

where we put $|\mathscr{L}\rangle := \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathscr{L})$ and $\langle \mathscr{L}| := \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L}, \mathbb{C})$. Using (3.1), which we write as $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{L}) = \big[\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes \langle \mathscr{L}|) \circ f^{-1}_{L,L} \circ \mathrm{For}(c_{L,L}) \circ f_{L,L} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes |\mathscr{L}\rangle) \circ (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1}\big]$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}(3.6)\quad &\big[\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \langle \mathscr{L}|) \circ f^{-1}_{L,L} \circ \mathrm{For}(F) \circ f_{L,L} \\ &\qquad \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes \rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes \langle \mathscr{L}|)) \\ &\qquad\quad \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes f^{-1}_{L,L}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes \mathrm{For}(c_{L,L})) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes f_{L,L}) \\ &\qquad\quad \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes |\mathscr{L}\rangle)) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1}) \\ &\qquad \circ f^{-1}_{L,L} \circ \mathrm{For}(F) \circ f_{L,L} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes |\mathscr{L}\rangle) \circ (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1}\big] \ ,\end{aligned}$$

represented by the second picture in (3.4). To prepare for the application of the Pentagon equation (2.7), we "pull" several maps into the argument of the fibre functor For. First, we use the coherence property $\alpha^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L},\mathbb{1}} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes \rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}}) = \rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}\otimes\mathscr{L}}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(3.7)\quad &\big[\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes \rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes (\langle \mathscr{L}| \otimes \langle \mathscr{L}|)) \circ \alpha^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L}} \\ &\qquad \circ (f^{-1}_{L,L} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}) \circ (\mathrm{For}(F) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}) \circ (f_{L,L} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}) \circ (\alpha^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L}})^{-1} \\ &\qquad \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes f^{-1}_{L,L}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes \mathrm{For}(c_{L,L})) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes f_{L,L}) \circ \alpha^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L}} \\ &\qquad \circ (f^{-1}_{L,L} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}) \circ (\mathrm{For}(F) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}) \circ (f_{L,L} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}) \circ (\alpha^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L}})^{-1} \\ &\qquad \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes (|\mathscr{L}\rangle \otimes |\mathscr{L}\rangle)) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1}) \circ (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1}\big] \ .\end{aligned}$$

Next, we employ the coherence property $f_{L,L\otimes L} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes f_{L,L}) \circ \alpha^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L}} = \mathrm{For}(\alpha^{\mathcal{C}}_{L,L,L}) \circ f_{L\otimes L,L} \circ (f_{L,L} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})$, and naturality of $f_{X,Y}$, to get

$$\begin{aligned}(3.8)\quad &\big[\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes \rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes (\langle \mathscr{L}| \otimes \langle \mathscr{L}|)) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes f^{-1}_{L,L}) \circ f^{-1}_{L,L\otimes L} \\ &\quad \circ \mathrm{For}(\alpha^{\mathcal{C}}_{L,L,L} \circ (F \otimes \mathrm{id}_L) \circ (\alpha^{\mathcal{C}}_{L,L,L})^{-1} \circ (\mathrm{id}_L \otimes c_{L,L})) \\ &\quad \circ \mathrm{For}(\alpha^{\mathcal{C}}_{L,L,L} \circ (F \otimes \mathrm{id}_L) \circ (\alpha^{\mathcal{C}}_{L,L,L})^{-1}) \\ &\quad \circ f_{L,L\otimes L} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes f_{L,L}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes (|\mathscr{L}\rangle \otimes |\mathscr{L}\rangle)) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1}) \circ (\rho^{\mathrm{Hilb}}_{\mathscr{L}})^{-1}\big] \ .\end{aligned}$$

Now we can apply the Pentagon equation in $\mathcal{C}$ to arrive at the desired result.

Part 2: The proof is the same as in, e.g. [1, Prop. 1.4]: Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathscr{L} \setminus \{0\}$. Since $F^*(\xi \otimes \eta) \neq 0$, there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{L}$ such that $\langle \xi \otimes \eta, F(\alpha \otimes \beta)\rangle \neq 0$. Hence, $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega_{\eta,\beta})(F)\alpha$ is not orthogonal to ξ, where $\omega_{\eta,\beta} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})_*$ denotes the functional $\omega_{\eta,\beta}(x) = \langle \eta, x\beta\rangle$. □

If F is a multiplicative unitary in $\mathcal{C}$, then $\hat{F} := c^{-1}_{L,L} F^* c_{L,L}$ is a multiplicative unitary in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{rev}}$. The previous proposition shows that also $\hat{A}(\hat{F})$ is a non-degenerate algebra.

Definition 6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a unitarily braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, and let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. A multiplicative unitary $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ is called *semi-regular*, if the vector space

$$C(F) := [(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(c_{L,L}^{-1} \circ F) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})_*] \tag{3.9}$$

contains the space $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})$. It is called *regular*, if $C(F)$ is equal to $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})$.

Remark 7. (1) Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(\hat{F}) &= [(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(c_{L,L}\hat{F}) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})_*] = [(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(F^* c_{L,L}) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})_*] \\ &= [(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(c_{L,L}^{-1} F) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})_*]^* = C(F)^* \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

a multiplicative unitary F is (semi-)regular, if and only if $\hat{F}$ is (semi-)regular.

(2) The condition (2.14) for F to be good is equivalent to $C(F) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$ having trivial commutant. In particular, semi-regular multiplicative unitaries are good. Hence, Yetter-Drinfeld categories ${}_F\mathcal{YD}^F(\mathcal{C})$ of semi-regular multiplicative unitaries F are braided.

Lemma 8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semi-regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object and let $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ be a multiplicative unitary. Then $C(F) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$ is a subalgebra. If, in addition, F is semi-regular, then $C(F)$ is a C^*-algebra.*

Proof. That $C(F)$ is a subalgebra is seen as follows:

$[C(F)C(F)]$



(3.11)

$\overset{(2.6)}{=} C(F)$.

If F is semi-regular, then we have

$[C(F)C(F)^*]$



(3.12)

$\overset{(2.6)}{=} C(F)$

and

$$[C(F)^*C(F)]$$

(3.13)



$C(F)$.

Now, if a norm-closed subalgebra C of $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$ satisfies $C^*C \subseteq C$ and $CC^* \subseteq C$, then it is already a C^*-algebra, cf. [9, Lem. 7.3.7]. Namely, for every $a \in C$ there exists a sequence $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of polynomials such that $a = \lim_n ap_n(a^*a)$. Then $a^* = \lim_n p_n(a^*a)a^* \in C^*CC^* \subseteq C$. □

Proposition 9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a semi-regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, and let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. If $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ is a multiplicative unitary and $C(F) = C(F)^*$ (in particular, if F is semi-regular), then $\hat{A}(F)$ is a C^*-algebra.*

Proof. We have:

$$[(F \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes C(F))(F^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})]$$

(3.14)



$\hat{A}(F)^*$,

where the left-hand side is an obviously self-adjoint set. Hence, $\hat{A}(F)^* = \hat{A}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$ is a self-adjoint norm-closed subset. As we know already that $\hat{A}(F)$ is an algebra, it follows that $\hat{A}(F)$ is a C^*-algebra. □

3.2. **The monoidal category of C^*-algebras $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$.** So far, we have seen that slices over the right leg of a semi-regular multiplicative unitary form a C^*-algebra $\hat{A}(F)$. In the next section we will show that $\hat{A}(F)$ comes equipped with a braided bialgebra structure. Here, we prepare for this and introduce a suitable monoidal category of C^*-algebras (see Definition 1), which contains $\hat{A}(F)$ as an object. We insist on regularity from now on, instead of just semi-regularity.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category. Given objects $H, K \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $X \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \otimes K)$, we denote by $\hat{A}(X)$ the closed subspace $[(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(X) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K})_*]$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H})$. By $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$ we denote the category of pairs (H, A), where $H \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object and $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is a C^*-subalgebra of the form $A = \hat{A}(X)$ for some morphism X. As morphisms $(H_1, A_1) \to (H_2, A_2)$ in $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$ we take all non-degenerate *-homomorphisms $f : A_1 \to M(A_2)$ which are of the form

$$A_1 \ni a \mapsto V(\mathrm{id}_K \otimes a)V^* \,, \tag{3.15}$$

for some $K \in \mathcal{C}$ and some isometry $V \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K \otimes H_1, H_2)$. By regularity of the braiding on $\mathcal{C}$, for each $H \in \mathcal{C}$ we have that $(H, \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}))$ is an object of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$: just choose $X = c_{H,H}$. If $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ is regular, then $(L, \hat{A}(F))$ is an object of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$, as desired.

Before defining a tensor product on $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 10. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category and let $(H_1, A_1), (H_2, A_2) \in \hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$ be objects. Then*

$$A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2 := \big[c_{H_2,H_1}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{H}_2} \otimes A_1)c_{H_2,H_1}^{-1} \cdot (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{H}_1} \otimes A_2)\big] \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}_1 \otimes \mathscr{H}_2) \tag{3.16}$$

is a crossed product of A_1 and A_2 with injections $\alpha : A_1 \ni a \mapsto c_{H_2,H_1}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{H}_2} \otimes a)c_{H_2,H_1}^{-1}$ and $\beta : A_2 \ni a \mapsto \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{H}_1} \otimes a$. Moreover, $(H_1 \otimes H_2, A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2)$ is an object of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and α, β are morphisms in $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$.

In the notation "$A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2$" we deliberately suppressed the dependence on H_1, H_2.

Proof. We first have to show that $A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2$ is a C^*-algebra. Since (H_1, A_1) and (H_2, A_2) are objects of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$, we find objects $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphisms $X_i \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(H_i \otimes K_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $A_1 = \hat{A}(X_1)$ and $A_2 = \hat{A}(X_2)$. Then

(3.17)

$\big[\alpha(A_1) \cdot \beta(A_2)\big]$

$=$ 

$= \big[\beta(A_2) \cdot \alpha(A_1)\big]$

shows first, that $A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}_1 \otimes \mathscr{H}_2)$ is a subalgebra. Since both $\alpha(A_1)$ and $\beta(A_2)$ are *-invariant subsets of $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}_1 \otimes \mathscr{H}_2)$, it also shows that $A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2$ is *-invariant, hence, a C^*-subalgebra. Thirdly, we see from (3.17) that $A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2 = \hat{A}(X)$ for $X = (c_{H_2,H_1} \otimes c_{K_2,K_1}^{-1}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{H_2} \otimes X_1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_2}) \circ (c_{H_2,H_1}^{-1} \otimes c_{K_2,K_1}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{H_1} \otimes X_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_1}))$, hence, $(H_1 \otimes H_2, A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2)$ is an object of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$. Finally, it is easily checked – again using (3.17) – that α and β are non-degenerate *-homomorphisms $A_i \to M(A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2)$, $i = 1, 2$, and they are clearly of the form (3.15). □

We can now define a tensor product $\hat{\boxtimes}$ on $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$ for objects $(H_1, A_1), (H_2, A_2) \in \hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$ by $(H_1, A_1) \hat{\boxtimes} (H_2, A_2) := (H_1 \otimes H_2, A_1 \hat{\boxtimes} A_2)$. The definition of $\hat{\boxtimes}$ for morphisms is done in the following lemma.

Lemma 11. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category and let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})}((H_1, A_1), (H_1', A_1'))$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})}((H_2, A_2), (H_2', A_2'))$ be morphisms. Then*

$$(f \hat{\boxtimes} g)(\alpha(a_1) \cdot \beta(a_2)) := \alpha(f(a_1)) \cdot \beta(g(a_2)) \ , \qquad a_1 \in A_1, \ a_2 \in A_2 \ , \tag{3.18}$$

defines a morphism $f \hat{\boxtimes} g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})}((H_1, A_1) \hat{\boxtimes} (H_2, A_2), (H_1', A_1') \hat{\boxtimes} (H_2', A_2'))$. Moreover, for composable morphisms f, f' and g, g' we have $(f' \hat{\boxtimes} g') \circ (f \hat{\boxtimes} g) = (f' \circ f) \hat{\boxtimes} (g' \circ g)$.

Proof. Let $V_f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K_f \otimes H_1, H_1')$ and $V_g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K_g \otimes H_2, H_2')$ be isometries such that $f(a) = V_f(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{K}_f} \otimes a)V_f^*$ and $g(b) = V_g(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{K}_g} \otimes b)V_g^*$ for $a \in A_1$ and $b \in A_2$.

Then

(3.19) $\alpha(f(a))\cdot\beta(g(b)) =$  $=$, $\quad a\in A_1,\ b\in A_2$.

This shows that (3.18) is well-defined and extends to a *-homomorphism $f\hat{\boxtimes}g : A_1\hat{\boxtimes}A_2\to\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}_1'\otimes\mathscr{H}_2')$ of the form (3.15). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[(f\hat{\boxtimes}g)(A_1\hat{\boxtimes}A_2)\cdot(A_1'\hat{\boxtimes}A_2')\big]\overset{(3.16)}{=}\big[(f\hat{\boxtimes}g)([\alpha(A_1)\beta(A_2)])\cdot[\alpha(A_1')\beta(A_2')]\big]\\&=\big[\alpha(f(A_1))\beta(g(A_2))\cdot[\beta(A_2')\alpha(A_1')]\big]=\big[\alpha(f(A_1))\underbrace{[\beta(g(A_2))\cdot\beta(A_2')]}_{=\beta(A_2')}\alpha(A_1')\big]\end{aligned}$$

(3.20)
$$=\big[\underbrace{[\alpha(f(A_1))\alpha(A_1')]}_{=\alpha(A_1')}\beta(A_2')\big]=A_1'\hat{\boxtimes}A_2'$$

implies that the image of $f\hat{\boxtimes}g$ is in $M(A_1'\hat{\boxtimes}A_2')\subseteq\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}_1'\otimes\mathscr{H}_2')$ and that $f\hat{\boxtimes}g$ is non-degenerate. That $\hat{\boxtimes}$ is functorial is immediate from its definition (3.18). □

There is another tensor product $\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}$ on $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$, which is obtained in a similar way as $\hat{\boxtimes}$, but using the inverse braiding instead of the braiding c. For example, on objects (H_1,A_1) and (H_2,A_2) of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$ we have $A_1\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}A_2 := \big[c^{-1}_{H_1,H_2}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{H}_2}\otimes A_1)c_{H_1,H_2}\cdot(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{H}_1}\otimes A_2)\big]$.

3.3. $\hat{A}(F)$ **as a** C^***-bialgebra in** $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$**.** Recall that by $\otimes_{\min}$ we denote the minimal (spatial) C^*-tensor product. We have the following relation between the tensor products $\otimes_{\min},\hat{\boxtimes}$ and $\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}$:

Lemma 12. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, let $(K,A)\in\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and $H\in\mathcal{C}$ be an object with underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$. Then we have*

$$A\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H})=A\hat{\boxtimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H})=A\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}) \tag{3.21}$$

as subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K}\otimes\mathscr{H})$.

Proof. Let X be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $A=\hat{A}(X)$. We have

$A\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H})$

(3.22) $=$  $=A\hat{\boxtimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H})$

as vector spaces. The statement with $\hat{\boxtimes}$ replaced by $\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}$ follows by replacing $\mathcal{C}$ by $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{rev}}$. □

We have the following analogue of [1, Prop. 3.6]:

Theorem 13. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object with underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{L}$, and let $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ be a regular multiplicative unitary. Then we have*

(1) $F \in M(\hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F}))$
(2) $[(\hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}) F (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F}))] = \hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F})$.

Proof. Part 1: We divide the proof into three steps, first showing that $F \in M(\hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))$, then $F \in M(\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F}))$, before proving $F \in M(\hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F}))$.

Part 1/step 1:

$(\hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))F$

$=$  $\overset{(2.7)}{=}$ $\overset{(2.6)}{=}$ $\overset{\text{reg.}}{=}$

(3.23)

$$= \hat{A}(F) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}) \overset{\text{Lem. } 12}{=} \hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})$$

shows that $F \in M(\hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))$.

Part 1/step 2: Applying the statement of step 1 to $\hat{F}$ gives $\hat{F} \in M(\hat{A}(\hat{F}) \hat{\overline{\boxtimes}} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))$; here, we have "$\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}$" instead of "$\hat{\boxtimes}$", since $\hat{F}$ satisfies the Pentagon equation in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{rev}}$. Hence, with

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F}) = [c_{L,L} \iota_2(\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})) c_{L,L}^{-1} \iota_2(A(F))] = c_{L,L}[\iota_2(\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})) c_{L,L}^{-1} \iota_2(A(F)) c_{L,L}] c_{L,L}^{-1}$$

$$= c_{L,L}(A(F) \hat{\overline{\boxtimes}} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})) c_{L,L}^{-1} \ , \tag{3.24}$$

we get

$$(\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F}))F^* = c_{L,L}(\hat{A}(\hat{F}) \hat{\overline{\boxtimes}} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})) c_{L,L}^{-1} F^* = c_{L,L}(\hat{A}(\hat{F}) \hat{\overline{\boxtimes}} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})) \hat{F} c_{L,L}^{-1}$$

$$= c_{L,L}(\hat{A}(\hat{F}) \hat{\overline{\boxtimes}} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})) c_{L,L}^{-1} = \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F}) \ , \tag{3.25}$$

which shows $F \in M(\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F}))$.

Part 1/step 3: By the braided Pentagon equation and steps 1 & 2, we have

$$\tilde{F} := \quad \overset{(2.7)}{=} \quad \in M(\hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F})) \ . \tag{3.26}$$



Put

$$S := \left[\quad \right] \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{L}) \ . \tag{3.27}$$



Then one easily checks that

$$[S^* S] = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{L}} \ , \qquad [S^* \tilde{F} S] = \mathbb{C} \cdot F \ ,$$

$$(\hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F})) \cdot S = S \cdot (\hat{A}(F) \hat{\boxtimes} \hat{A}(\hat{F})) \ . \tag{3.28}$$

Hence,

$$F\cdot(\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F}))=[S^*\tilde{F}S\cdot(\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F}))]=[S^*\tilde{F}\cdot(\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F}))S]$$
$$\subseteq[S^*(\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F}))S]=[S^*S(\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F}))]=\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F})\ , \tag{3.29}$$

proving $F\in M(\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F}))$.

Part 2: Again, we perform the proof in three steps.
Part 2/step 1: We have

$[(\hat{A}(F)\boxtimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})F(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}\boxtimes\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))]$



$$=\hat{A}(F)\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})=\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})\ . \tag{3.30}$$

Part 2/step 2: From $[(\hat{A}(\hat{F})\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})\hat{F}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))]=\hat{A}(\hat{F})\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})$ and (3.24) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F})&=(\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F}))^*=c_{L,L}(\hat{A}(\hat{F})\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))^*c_{L,L}^{-1}\\&=c_{L,L}[(\hat{A}(\hat{F})\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})\hat{F}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))]^*c_{L,L}^{-1}\\&=c_{L,L}[(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))\hat{F}^*(\hat{A}(\hat{F})\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})]c_{L,L}^{-1}\\&=[c_{L,L}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))c_{L,L}^{-1}Fc_{L,L}(A(F)\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})c_{L,L}^{-1}]\\&=[(\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})\hat{\boxtimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})F(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F}))]\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Part 2/step 3: Using the same technique as in the proof of part 3, it suffices to show that

$$[(\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})\hat{\boxtimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})\tilde{F}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}}\hat{\boxtimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F}))]=\hat{A}(F)\hat{\boxtimes}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L})\hat{\boxtimes}\hat{A}(\hat{F})\ . \tag{3.32}$$

This follows immediately from $\tilde{F}=F_{12}^*F_{23}F_{12}F_{23}^*$, together with steps 1 & 2 of Part 1 and steps 1 & 2 of Part 2. □

We are now prepared to turn $\hat{A}(F)$ into a bialgebra in $(\hat{A}(\mathcal{C}),\hat{\boxtimes})$, generalising [1, Prop. 3.8].

Proposition 14. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, let $L\in\mathcal{C}$ be an object with underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{L}$, and let $F\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L\otimes L)$ be a regular multiplicative unitary. For $a\in\hat{A}(F)$, put $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}(a):=F^*(\mathrm{id}_L\otimes a)F$ and $\hat{\Delta}(a):=c_{L,L}\hat{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}(a)c_{L,L}^{-1}$. Then $(\hat{A}(F),\hat{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}})$ and $(\hat{A}(F),\hat{\Delta})$ are bi-simplifiable C^*-bialgebras in $(\hat{A}(\mathcal{C}),\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}})$, respectively, $(\hat{A}(\mathcal{C}),\hat{\boxtimes})$.*

Proof. First, we need to show that the sets $(\hat{A}(F)\mathbin{\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}}\mathrm{id}_L)\cdot\hat{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}(\hat{A}(F))$ and $(\mathrm{id}_L\mathbin{\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}}\hat{A}(F))\cdot\hat{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}(\hat{A}(F))$ are dense in $\hat{A}(F)\mathbin{\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}}\hat{A}(F)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[(\hat{A}(F)\mathbin{\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}}\mathrm{id}_L)\cdot\hat{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}(\hat{A}(F))] &= [c_{L,L}^{-1}\langle\mathscr{L}|_3F_{23}|\mathscr{L}\rangle_3 c_{L,L}\cdot\langle\mathscr{L}|_3F_{12}^*F_{23}F_{12}|\mathscr{L}\rangle_3]\\
&= [c_{L,L}^{-1}\langle\mathscr{L}|_3F_{23}|\mathscr{L}\rangle_3\cdot\langle\mathscr{L}|_3F_{23}(c_{L,L})_{12}F_{23}|\mathscr{L}\rangle_3]\\
&= [c_{L,L}^{-1}\langle\mathscr{L}|_3(\hat{A}(F)\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))_{23}\cdot F_{23}(c_{L,L})_{12}F_{23}|\mathscr{L}\rangle_3]\\
&\overset{\text{Lem. }12}{=} [c_{L,L}^{-1}\langle\mathscr{L}|_3(\hat{A}(F)\mathbin{\hat{\boxtimes}}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))_{23}\cdot F_{23}(c_{L,L})_{12}F_{23}|\mathscr{L}\rangle_3]\\
&\overset{\text{Thm. }13}{=} [c_{L,L}^{-1}\langle\mathscr{L}|_3(\hat{A}(F)\mathbin{\hat{\boxtimes}}\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}))_{23}(c_{L,L})_{12}F_{23}|\mathscr{L}\rangle_3]\\
&= [c_{L,L}^{-1}\iota_2(\hat{A}(F))c_{L,L}\cdot\hat{A}(F)] = \hat{A}(F)\mathbin{\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}}\hat{A}(F)\ , \qquad (3.33)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used standard leg notation, and put $|\mathscr{L}\rangle := \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},\mathscr{L})$ and $\langle\mathscr{L}| := \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L},\mathbb{C})$. Concerning the denseness of the other set, we have

$[(\mathrm{id}_L\mathbin{\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}}\hat{A}(F))\cdot\hat{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}(\hat{A}(F))]$



(3.34)



$= \hat{A}(F)\mathbin{\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}}\hat{A}(F)$.

Clearly, $\hat{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is of the form 3.15. That it is a non-degenerate *-homomorphism is immediate from the Podleś conditions. Hence, $(\hat{A}(F),\hat{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}})$ is a bi-simplifiable C^*-bialgebra in $(\hat{A}(\mathcal{C}),\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}})$. The statement about $(\hat{A}(F),\hat{\Delta})$ follows using the identity $\hat{A}(F)\mathbin{\hat{\boxtimes}}\hat{A}(F) = c_{L,L}(\hat{A}(F)\mathbin{\hat{\overline{\boxtimes}}}\hat{A}(F))c_{L,L}^{-1}$. □

4. Yetter-Drinfeld categories of regular braided multiplicative unitaries

Our starting point in the last section was a regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category. We had seen, that the category Hilb with the tensor flip Σ is an example of such a category. The purpose of the present section is to provide more examples: We know already that the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a regular multiplicative unitary in a regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category is unitarily braided (Remark 7). Here, we will see that its braiding is also regular.

Lemma 15. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a* semi-regularly/regularly *braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, let $L\in\mathcal{C}$ be an object with underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{L}$, and let $F\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L\otimes L)$ be a* semi-regular/regular *multiplicative unitary. We have:*

(1) If $(H,U)\in\mathcal{C}^F$, then $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H},\mathscr{L})\subseteq_{=}[(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega)(c_{L,H}^{-1}U)\,|\,\omega\in\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L},\mathscr{H})_*]$.
(2) If $(K,V)\in{}_F\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L},\mathscr{H})\subseteq_{=}[(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega)(c_{K,L}^{-1}V)\,|\,\omega\in\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H},\mathscr{L})_*]$.

Proof. Part 1:

$[(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega)(c_{L,H}^{-1}U)\,|\,\omega\in\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L},\mathscr{H})_*]$

$=$ 

$\overset{(2.8)}{=}$ 

$\overset{(2.6)}{=}$ 

$\overset{\text{(semi-)reg.}}{\underset{\text{of } F}{\supseteq\!\!/\!=}}$ 

(4.1)

$\overset{\text{(semi-)reg. of}}{\underset{\text{braiding}}{\supseteq\!\!/\!=}}$ 

$= \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H},\mathscr{L})\ ,$

where in the last step we made use of the non-degeneracy of the algebra $[(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega)(U)\,|\,\omega\in\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})_*]$, which one shows in the same way as Proposition 5.

Part 2: As $\hat{F}$ is a $\overset{\text{semi-regular}}{\text{regular}}$ multiplicative unitary in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{rev}}$ and as, with $\hat{V}:=c_{K,L}^{-1}V^*c_{K,L}$, we have $(K,\hat{V})\in(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{rev}})^{\hat{F}}$, the statement of part 1 implies

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{K},\mathscr{L})\ \subseteq\!\!/\!=\ [(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega)(c_{K,L}\hat{V})\,|\,\omega\in\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L},\mathscr{K})_*]=[(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega)(V^*c_{K,L})\,|\,\omega\in\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L},\mathscr{K})_*]$$

(4.2)

$$=[(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega)(c_{K,L}^{-1}V)\,|\,\omega\in\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K},\mathscr{L})_*]^*\ ,$$

showing the claim. □

Proposition 16. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\overset{\text{semi-regularly}}{\text{regularly}}$ braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, let $L\in\mathcal{C}$ be an object with underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{L}$, and let $F\in\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L\otimes L)$ be a $\overset{\text{semi-regular}}{\text{regular}}$ multiplicative unitary. Then the braiding Φ on the category ${}_F\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}^F(\mathcal{C})$ is $\overset{\text{semi-regular}}{\text{regular}}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of statement 1 in Lemma 15, but employing statement 2 of Lemma 15 instead of $\overset{\text{semi-regularity}}{\text{regularity}}$ of F: If $(H,U_H,V_H),(K,U_K,V_K)\in{}_F\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}^F(\mathcal{C})$, then

$[(\mathrm{id}\otimes\omega)(\Phi_{K,H})\,|\,\omega\in\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K},\mathscr{H})_*]$

$=$ 

$=$ 

$\overset{(2.6)}{=}$ 

$\overset{\text{Lem.}}{\underset{15}{\supseteq\!\!/\!=}}$ 

(4.3)

(semi-)reg. of $\supseteq / =$ braiding



$= \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})$.

□

5. Semi-direct products of regular braided multiplicative unitaries

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a concrete regularly braided W^*-category, K an object in $\mathcal{C}$, let $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(K \otimes K)$ be a regular multiplicative unitary in $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, let $L = (\check{L}, U, V)$ be an object in ${}_W\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}^W(\mathcal{C})$ and $F \in \mathcal{U}_{{}_W\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}^W(\mathcal{C})}(L \otimes L)$ be a multiplicative unitary in ${}_W\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}^W(\mathcal{C})$. Define the *semi-direct product multiplicative unitary* $W \ltimes F$ by

(5.1) $W \ltimes F :=$  $\in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(K \otimes \check{L} \otimes K \otimes \check{L})$.

That $W \ltimes F$ is indeed a multiplicative unitary in $\mathcal{C}$ is shown, for the special case $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, c) = (\mathrm{Hilb}, \otimes, \Sigma)$, in [6, Thm. 6.15]; details for the case considered here will be presented in a separate paper.

Before investigating the regularity properties of semi-direct products, we introduce the notion of fixed vectors.

Definition 17. Let $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(K \otimes K)$ be a multiplicative unitary. A *fixed vector* for W is a morphism $e \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, K)$ such that $W(e \otimes \xi) = e \otimes \xi$ holds for all $\xi \in \mathscr{K}$.

Remark 18.
(1) An ordinary multiplicative unitary W is said to be of *compact type*, if $A(W)$ is unital. It is shown in [1, Prop. 1.10] for multiplicative unitaries W on separable Hilbert spaces, that W is of compact type if and only if it admits a non-zero fixed vector.
(2) If e is a fixed vector for W, then we also have

(5.2)

(3) If e is a non-zero fixed vector for W, and $(H, V) \in {}_W\mathcal{C}$, then we have

(5.3) 

Proposition 19. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a concrete W^*-category with regular braiding, let $W \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(K \otimes K)$ be a regular multiplicative unitary, and $F \in \mathcal{U}_{{}_W\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}^W(\mathcal{C})}(L \otimes L)$ be a multiplicative unitary.*

(1) If F is regular, then so is $W \ltimes F$.
(2) If W admits a non-zero fixed vector and $W \ltimes F$ is regular, then F is regular.

Proof. Part 1: Let $L = (\check{L}, U, V) \in {}_W\mathcal{YD}^W(\mathcal{C})$ and write $Z := (V * U)^*$, where the product $*$ is as in Section 2.4. We have



$$(5.4) \qquad \overset{\text{reg. of } W}{=} \left[\; H \;\; \check{L} \right] = \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{L}) \ ,$$

which shows that $W \ltimes F$ is regular.

Part 2: Let e be a fixed unit vector for W, and $\omega_{e,e}$ be the corresponding state $x \mapsto \langle e, xe\rangle$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K})$. Then we have, by regularity of $W \ltimes F$ and W,

$\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{L}) = (\omega_{e,e} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{K} \otimes \mathscr{L}))$



(5.5)
$= C(F)$.

□

6. Bi-regularity

To motivate this section, let us consider a multiplicative unitary $W \in \mathcal{U}(\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{H})$ in the category $(\mathrm{Hilb}, \otimes, \mathbb{C}, \Sigma)$ of Hilbert spaces equipped with the symmetric braiding given by the tensor flip Σ. If W is *manageable*, see e.g. [12, Def. 1.2] for a definition, then the algebras $\hat{A}(W)$ and $\hat{A}(\hat{W})$ are C^*-algebras and come equipped with anti-automorphisms $\hat{R}$ and R satisfying $(\hat{R} \otimes R)(W) = W$; see [7, Lem. 40]. If W is *manageable* and *regular*, then we may apply $\hat{R} \otimes R$ to

$$(6.1) \qquad [(\hat{A}(W) \otimes \mathrm{id})W(\mathrm{id} \otimes \hat{A}(\hat{W}))] = \hat{A}(W) \otimes_{\min} \hat{A}(\hat{W})$$

from Theorem 13.2 to obtain

$$(6.2) \qquad [(\mathrm{id} \otimes \hat{A}(\hat{W}))W(\hat{A}(W) \otimes \mathrm{id})] = \hat{A}(W) \otimes_{\min} \hat{A}(\hat{W}) \ .$$

As both $\hat{A}(W)$ and $\hat{A}(\hat{W})$ act non-degenerately on $\mathscr{H}$, hence, on $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H})$, multiplying (6.2) with $\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H})$ from the left, and with $\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}) \otimes \mathrm{id}$ from the right, we obtain

$$(6.3) \qquad [(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}))W(\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}) \otimes \mathrm{id})] = \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{H}) \ .$$

From this we see that W also satisfies the following regularity property:

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}) = [(\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Sigma W) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H})_*] \ . \tag{6.4}$$

A regular multiplicative unitary with this additional property is called *bi-regular* in [1, Def. 3.10]. We have arrived at

Proposition 20. *Let W be a multiplicative unitary in $(\mathrm{Hilb}, \otimes, \mathbb{C}, \Sigma)$. If W is regular and manageable, then it is bi-regular.*

Since manageable multiplicative unitaries form an important class, we are now going to generalise the notion of bi-regularity to braided multiplicative unitaries.

Definition 21. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, c)$ be a unitarily braided concrete monoidal W^*-category.

(1) The braiding c on $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be *bi-(semi-)regular*, if it is (semi-)regular on $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ and on $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbb{1})$.
(2) A multiplicative unitary $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ is called *bi-(semi-)regular*, if F is (semi-)regular in $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, c)$ and F^* is (semi-)regular in $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbb{1}, c^{-1})$.

Let us first comment on part 1 of the above definition. Spelled out explicitly, a braiding c on $\mathcal{C}$ is bi-regular, if

$$[(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(c_{H,K}) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K}, \mathscr{H})_*] = \mathcal{K}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}) = [(\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(c_{H,K}) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})_*] \tag{6.5}$$

holds for all $H, K \in \mathcal{C}$. Braidings on representation categories of compact quantum groups are bi-regular automatically. Before proving this, we introduce **strongly rigid* categories. Recall that the **strong topology* on $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)$ is the locally convex topology generated by the semi-norms $a \mapsto \sqrt{\varphi(a^*a)}$ and $a \mapsto \sqrt{\varphi(aa^*)}$, where φ runs over all positive elements of $\mathrm{Hom}(X, X)_*$.

Definition 22. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ be a monoidal W^*-category and $H \in \mathcal{C}$ an object. We say that an object $\bar{H} \in \mathcal{C}$ is a *strong left-dual for H, if the sets

$$\big\{ (x \otimes \mathrm{id}_H) \circ (\mathrm{id}_H \otimes y) \,|\, x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \otimes \bar{H}, \mathbb{1}),\, y \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \bar{H} \otimes H) \big\} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(H) \ ,$$

$$\big\{ (\mathrm{id}_{\bar{H}} \otimes x) \circ (y \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\bar{H}}) \,|\, x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \otimes \bar{H}, \mathbb{1}),\, y \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \bar{H} \otimes H) \big\} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{H}) \ ,$$

are *strongly dense in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(H)$, $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{H})$. We call a monoidal W^*-category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ **strongly rigid*, if every object $H \in \mathcal{C}$ admits a *strong left-dual.

The category $(\mathrm{Hilb}, \otimes, \mathbb{C})$ and, more generally, representation categories of compact quantum groups are *strongly rigid. We have:

Proposition 23. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ be a *strongly rigid concrete monoidal W^*-category, and let c be a unitary braiding on $\mathcal{C}$. Then c is bi-regular.*

Proof. As a normal fibre functor is also continuous with respect to the *strong topology, we have in a *strongly rigid and concrete W^*-category

$$\left[\; \right. \quad \left. \right]_H = \left[\quad \middle| \begin{array}{l} x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \otimes \bar{H}, \mathbb{1}), \\ y \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \bar{H} \otimes H) \end{array} \right] \ , \tag{6.6}$$

as well as

$$\left[\; \right. \quad \left. \right]_{\bar{H}} = \left[\quad \middle| \; y \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \bar{H} \otimes H) \right] \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\left[\; \right. \quad \left. \right]_H = \left[\quad \middle| \; x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H \otimes \bar{H}, \mathbb{1}) \right] \ . \tag{6.8}$$

Hence,



(6.9)

shows the regularity of the braiding c. Its bi-regularity is shown in a similar manner. □

We turn now to the second part of Definition 21. A multiplicative unitary F is bi-regular if



(6.10)

Note that the right-hand side picture in (6.10) is obtained from the one on the left-hand side by a rotation about 180°. Therefore, if we have a pictorial proof of some statement which makes use of regularity, i.e. (*) in (6.10), then its rotation about 180° gives a statement with regularity replaced by the condition (**) in (6.10). In combination we obtain a statement involving bi-regularity. We shall use this observation in the following to obtain analogues of results for regular multiplicative unitaries in the bi-regular setting.

Given a concrete monoidal W^*-category $\mathcal{C}$ with bi-semi-regular braiding c and a multiplicative unitary $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$, we define – in addition to the algebra $\hat{A}(F)$ –

$$A(F) := [(\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(F) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})_*] \,. \tag{6.11}$$

Then, in analogy to Proposition 5, we have:

Proposition 24. *(1) $A(F) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{L})$ is a subalgebra.*
(2) $[A(F)\mathscr{L}] = \mathscr{L}$, i.e. $A(F)$ acts non-degenerately.

The proof is performed in a similar way as the proof of Proposition 5, but with pictures rotated by 180°. In this manner we also obtain – cf. Proposition 9 –

Proposition 25. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a bi-semi-regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, and let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. If $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ is a bi-semi-regular multiplicative unitary, then $A(F)$ is a C^*-algebra.*

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a bi-regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category. We define a category $A(\mathcal{C})$ in a similar way as $\hat{A}(\mathcal{C})$ in Section 3.2: Objects of $A(\mathcal{C})$ are pairs (H, A), where $H \in \mathcal{C}$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is a C^*-subalgeba of the form $A = A(X) := [(\omega \otimes \mathrm{id})(X) \,|\, \omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{K})_*]$, for some $K \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphism $X \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(K \otimes H)$. Morphisms $(H_1, A_1) \to (H_2, A_2)$ in $A(\mathcal{C})$ are non-degenerate *-homomorphisms $f : A_1 \to M(A_2)$ of the form $A_1 \ni a \mapsto V(a \otimes \mathrm{id}_K)V^*$, for some $K \in \mathcal{C}$ and some partial isometry $V \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(H_1 \otimes K, H_2)$. With $(H_1, A_1) \boxtimes (H_2, A_2) := (H_1 \otimes H_2, A_1 \boxtimes A_2)$, for objects $(H_1, A_1), (H_2, A_2) \in A(\mathcal{C})$, where

$$A_1 \boxtimes A_2 := \left[(A_1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{H}_2}) \cdot c^{-1}_{H_1,H_2}(A_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{H}_1})c_{H_1,H_2}\right] \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathscr{H}_1 \otimes \mathscr{H}_2) \,, \tag{6.12}$$

we get a monoidal category of C^*-algebras $(A(\mathcal{C}), \boxtimes)$.

Furthermore, we obtain:

Theorem 26. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a bi-regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object with underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{L}$, and let $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ be a bi-regular multiplicative unitary. Then we have*

(1) $F \in M(A(\hat{F}) \boxtimes A(F))$
(2) $[(A(\hat{F}) \boxtimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}})F(\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{L}} \boxtimes A(F))] = A(\hat{F}) \boxtimes A(F)$.

Proposition 27. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a bi-regularly braided concrete monoidal W^*-category, let $L \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object with underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{L}$, and let $F \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \otimes L)$ be a bi-regular multiplicative unitary. For $a \in A(F)$, put $\Delta(a) := F(a \otimes \mathrm{id}_L)F^*$. Then (A, Δ) is a bi-simplifiable C^*-bialgebra in $A(\mathcal{C})$.*

Unfortunately, we are unable to prove an analogue of Proposition 16. The rotate-by-180° trick does not work since the graphical representation of the braiding Φ on the Yetter-Drinfeld category ${}_F\mathcal{YD}^F(\mathcal{C})$ is not symmetric under rotations by 180°.

7. Acknowledgement

The first author is fully, and the second author is partially supported by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)) through the Research Training Group 1493. The second author is also partially supported by the Fields–Ontario postdoctoral fellowship. We thank Ralf Meyer and Folkert Müller-Hoissen for helpful comments and suggestions, and the Fields Institute for its hospitality.

References

[1] Saad Baaj and Georges Skandalis, *Unitaires multiplicatifs et dualité pour les produits croisés de C^*-algèbres*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **26** (1993), no. 4, 425–488, available at `http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS_1993_4_26_4_425_0`. MR 1235438
[2] Bojko Bakalov and Jr. Kirillov Alexander, *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*, University Lecture Series, vol. 21, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. MR 1797619
[3] P. Ghez, Ricardo Lima, and John E. Roberts, *W^*-categories*, Pacific J. Math. **120** (1985), no. 1, 79–109, available at `http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pjm/1102703884`. MR 808930
[4] Ralf Meyer, Sutanu Roy, and Stanisław Lech Woronowicz, *Homomorphisms of quantum groups*, Münster J. Math. **5** (2012), 1–24, available at `http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:hbz:6-88399662599`. MR 3047623
[5] ———, *Quantum group-twisted tensor products of* C*-*algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **25** (2014), no. 2, 1450019, 37, DOI 10.1142/S0129167X14500190. MR 3189775
[6] Sutanu Roy, C*-*Quantum groups with projection*, Ph.D. Thesis, Georg-August Universität Göttingen, 2013, `http://hdl.handle.net/11858/00-1735-0000-0022-5EF9-0`.
[7] Piotr M. Sołtan and Stanisław Lech Woronowicz, *From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups. II*, J. Funct. Anal. **252** (2007), no. 1, 42–67, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2007.07.006. MR 2357350
[8] Ross Street, *Fusion operators and cocycloids in monoidal categories*, Appl. Categ. Structures **6** (1998), no. 2, 177–191, DOI 10.1023/A:1008655911796. MR 1629381
[9] Thomas Timmermann, *An invitation to quantum groups and duality*, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008. MR 2397671
[10] Stanisław Lech Woronowicz, *Tannaka–Kreĭn duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. Twisted* SU(N) *groups*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), no. 1, 35–76, DOI 10.1007/BF01393687. MR 943923
[11] ———, *Unbounded elements affiliated with C^*-algebras and noncompact quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **136** (1991), no. 2, 399–432, available at `http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104202358`. MR 1096123
[12] ———, *From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups*, Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), no. 1, 127–149, DOI 10.1142/S0129167X96000086. MR 1369908

E-mail address: `dbuecher@uni-math.gwdg.de`

Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstrasse 3–5, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

E-mail address: sutanu@uni-math.gwdg.de

Indian Statistical Institute, 203, B.T. Road, Kolkata 700108, India